

Deepwater Horizon UAC Environmental Unit Insitu Burning and Dispersants

Wyman Briggs USCG, Sector Northern New England

September 2010

UNCLASSIFIED





Overview

Insitu Burning – Historical perspective

- ISB during DWH response
- Controlled Burn Operations Plan
- Air monitoring initiative
- Dispersant application during DWH response
- Bioremediation









ISB Historical Perspective

- Several test burns conducted during the Exxon Valdez response, in Canada and elsewhere with promising results.
- Used in response to accidental oil spills with varying success – particularly in difficult to reach and remote areas

➤Trade-off:

- ➢Oil on water vs black sooty smoke plume
- ► Long term vs short term





ISB Organization- ICP Houma









ISB Operations

- Approved burn operations area (Burn Box) established previous day based on spotted oil locations, weather, skimming/dispersent ops
- 2. Spotter aircraft locates areas of concentrated fresh/thick oil and vectors in fire team vessels.
- 3. Control vessel coordinate with aerial observers and directs boom vessel collection and need/timing of ignition operations.
- 4. Igniter of choice is hand deployable device of gelled diesel in plastic container with foam flotation and road flare.
- 5. Safety considerations include:
 - Daylight operations
 - Area clear for at least 2 nautical miles
 - Safety technician, PPE, safety plan







Smoke Plume Sampling







- Aerostat deployed to sample ISB smoke plume
- Results being evaluated







ISB Stats

- 411 controlled burns conducted as part of DWH response during the summer of 2010
- Up to 90+% efficiency rate
- Estimated 265,450 Bbls or 11,148,900 gallons burned for a total of 5% of the total quantity discharged. – more than Exxon Valdez release
- 60% more oil was burned than skimmed



Dispersant Application



HSU SKANDI NEP	TUNE	Subsea 7
E: 1201861.95	N: 10430711.	75 09/07/10
D: 4920.2 A	1t: 3.3	22: 35: 42
Hero 14: Dispe	nsant Ops	Hdg: 62. 64



- Traditionally one of the more controversial issues in spill response
- Reduces cohesiveness of slick so it can be broken into small droplets, dispersed and subject to natural biodegradation
- Environmental trade-off: water column vs potential shoreline impacts
- Aerial/vessel:1.07M gals, <u>subsea</u>:771K gals







Bioremediation

- Bioaugmentation add bacteria
- Biostimulation add nutrients
- Limited value for oil discharges









Deepwater Horizon MC252 Gulf Incident Oil Budget Government Estimates - Through August 16 (Day 119)

Calculated Values	Cumulative	August 16
Discharged	4,928,100	0
Recovered via RITT and Top Hat	827,046	0
Dispersed Naturally	763,948	0
Evaporated or Dissolved	1,243,732	0
Available for Recovery	2,093,374	0
Chemically Dispersed	408,792	0
Burned	265,450	0
Skimmed	165,399	0
Remaining	1,253,733	0
Recorded Values		
Dispersant Used	43,900	Ó
Inland Recovery (Cumulative)	41,693 tons	1

* All unlabeled values in barrels. See end notes for assumptions.

** Government estimate of discharge ranged from 62,200 bbl on April 22, 2010 to 52,700 bbl on July 14, 2010.



Deepwater Horizon MC252 Gulf Incident Oil Budget generated by jennifer.d.osetek@uscg.mil on 08/17/2010 04:39 AM MDT Application operated by the USCG and provided by the USGS with calculations from NOAA and NIST.



Potential RRT 1 Issues

- Review ISB effectiveness
- Review ISB impacts
- Consider trade-offs
- Revisit RCP guidelines
- Revisit equipment availability



- Review dispersant effectiveness
- Review dispersant impacts
- Consider trade-offs
- Revisit RCP guidelines
- Revisit equipment

availability



UNCLASSIFIED